FLORIDA AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY SUPPORT PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Performance Evaluation for Non-Supervisory Employees

Please return the original form, fully completed, to the OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES no later than:

1. Employee Name				2. Employee ID Number			
3. Evaluation Date				4. Department			
5. Class Title				6. Class Code	Position No.		
7. Type Report				8. Evaluation Period From		to	
	(Probationary)	(Annual)	(Special)	_			

INSTRUCTIONS

Immediately upon receipt of this Performance Evaluation Form by the department, it should be forwarded to the Immediate Supervisor of the employee concerned for completion. Instructions and procedures for the Immediate Supervisor are listed on the reverse side of this form.

T	1 2 3	4 5 6 7	8 10 12 14	15 16 17	18 19 20
Knowledge of work		Limited knowledge of work.	Adequate knowledge of work.	Above average knowledge of	Extremely knowledgeable on
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	of work.	Needs Improvement	Meets minimum requirements of	work. Well informed on most	all phases of job.
			job.	phases of job.	
II	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9	10
Job Skills and	Does not demonstrate	Demonstrates minimal skills	Demonstrates skills and abilities		Consistently superior in use of
Abilities	necessary skills and	and abilities required in	required to produce satisfactory	use of required skills and	skills and abilities.
	abilities to perform	performing job assignments.	and acceptable work.	abilities.	
	job assignments				
	despite training				
	and/or instructions.				
III	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9	10
Quality of Work	Quality of work	Work does not meet	Work meets established	Quality of work is	Work is exceptionally
	almost not worth the	minimum standards. Makes	requirements and is generally	consistently high. Makes very	accurate. Makes practically
	time involved.	frequent errors.	acceptable.	few errors.	no mistakes.
IV	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	89	10
Quantity of Work	Output is very	Produces below what is	Production meets minimum	Produces more than fair share.	Good producer. Consistently
	limited. Vast	expected. Must improve.	requirements.		does far more than fair share.
	improvement required				
17	required.	2 2			10
V	l Unuiliatia Danima	2 3 Conscientious but sometimes	4 5 6 7 Usually takes care of necessary	89 Consistently convised out	10 Fetereslandiala Bandiar
Dependability	Unreliable. Requires close supervision.	needs close supervision.	tasks and completes with	Consistently carries out assignments effectively.	Extremely reliable. Requires minimum supervision.
	close super vision.	needs close supervision.	reasonable promptness.	assignments effectively.	initiatit super vision.
VI	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9	10
Initiative	Initiative very poor.	Frequently appears to be		More than normal interest in	Extremely interested in work.
	Does not display	indifferent about job.	creative imagination. A willing	work. Actively seeks ways to	Seeks to simplify work.
	interest in	Performs duties only when instructed.	worker.	improve procedures.	Makes suggestions to improve
	assignments.	instructed.			job and other related jobs.
VII	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9	10
Cooperation	Uncooperative. Does	Occasionally uncooperative.	Cooperates with associates. A	Goes out of the way to help	Extremely cooperative. Puts
	not accept	Hard to supervise.	good team worker.	others. Works to help achieve	
	constructive criticism.			organizational goals.	personal desires.
VIII	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9	10
Attendance	Often absent or	Lax in attendance or reporting	Attendance and punctuality are	Rarely absent, rarely tardy.	Extremely conscientious.
	abuses leave.	on time.	satisfactory.		Excellent attendance record.
IX	1	2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9	10
Tact and Courtesy	Inconsiderate of the	Occasionally harsh and	Generally tactful and courteous.	Kind, tactful, and courteous at	
	feelings others. Harsh	inconsiderate.		all times.	courteous. Goes out of way to
	in responding to				create harmony.
	others.				

XI. Next Higher Level Supervisor's Comments (optional)

XIII. OVERALL RATING

IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR'S INSTRUCTIONS AND PROCEDURES

Step 1 - Review the RATING FACTORS and the INTERPRETATION OF LEGEND to become familiar with their meaning. Circle one of the numbers in the block under RATING FACTORS that best describes the performance of the person being

- Step 2 rated on that particular factor. Each factor must be rated.
- Step 3 Add the circled numbers and enter total item XII. Refer to LEGEND and enter overall rating in item XIII.
- Step 4 Enter Comments in item X. (Required for Below Performance Standards rating)
- Step 5 Sign and date the evaluation form.
- Step 6 Take the evaluation form to your supervisor for his/her review, optional comments and signature.
- Step 7 Hold a private conference with the rated employee. Take time to review each rating with the employee, determine and define the work goals and performance standards, and discuss problem areas. Suggest ways by which the employee's performance can be improved.

Obtain the employee's signature and give the employee a copy of the completed form. If the employee refuses to sign, make a Step 8 - notation on the form and show the date of refusal.

This evaluation form should be completed in three (3) copies with distributions as follows, after completion:

	1 Personnel (Original)	1 Employee (Copy) 1 Department (Copy)			
LEG	END				
Score	Overall Rating	INTERPRETATION OF LEGEND			
10 - 39	Below Performance Standards	Employee fails to meet minimum performance standards for the position.			
40 - 79	Achieves Performance Standards	Employee fully meets standards of performance for the position.			
80 - 90	Exceeds Performance Standards	Employee performs at a level significantly above the performance standards for the position.			
91 - 100	Greatly Exceeds Performance Standards	Employee consistently performs at a level significantly above the performance standards for the position.			
S	gnature of Evaluator	Title	Date		
Signature of Next Higher Level Supervisor		Title	Date		
E	mplovee's Signature	Title	Date		

Employee's Signature

Date

(Employee's signature certifies that he/she had the opportunity to review and discuss this evaluation with immediate supervisor, but does not mean the employee necessarily agrees with this evaluation.)

COMPLETE ONLY IF PROBATIONARY EVALUATION					
Extension of Probationary Period requested:	Yes	No No			
Length of extension (6-month maximum):					
Probationary Period Extended as requested:			Extension expires		
Auth. University Regulation 10.119., governing evaluations of University Support Personnel System Employees. Employee must be evaluated again					

within 30 days prior to end of extension.

Signature: